Atty. Docket No: 30203/37263

Atty. Dock JAN 9 4 300 CEARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

	to my name; I believe that I am the original inventor (if plural names are listed below) of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	entitled "OPTICAL SWITCH WITH MOV					
	one): □ is attached hereto; ⊠ was filed o					
	on(i	- 				
	and was amended under Article 1					
	understand the contents of the above-identi					
	above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose	_				
	patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56					
	I hereby claim foreign priority be	enefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of	any foreign application(s) for pa	tent or inventor's		
	certificate or of any PCT international appli	cation(s) designating at least one co	ountry other than the United States	of America listed		
	below and have also identified below any	foreign application(s) for patent	or inventor's certificate or any	PCT international		
	application(s) designating at least one countr	ry other than the United States of Ar	merica filed by me on the same sub	ject matter having		
	a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:				
				Priority Claimed		
100	(Application Serial Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	□ □ □ Yes No		
	*	(),	(= 0,000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000			
٦						
Į.	(Application Serial Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes No		
ā,						
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I hereby claim the benefit under 3.	5 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United St	ates provisional application(s) list	ed below:		
	60/223,503		7 August 2000			
	(Application Serial Number)		(Day/Month/Year Filed)			
	60/223,508 (Application Serial Number)		7 August 2001			
	,		(Day/Month/Year Filed)			
	60/271,103 (Application Serial Number)		23 February 2001 (Day/Month/Year Filed)			
	((2-1) 1.11 1.11 1.11			
	I hereby claim the benefit under 3	5 U.S.C. §120 of any United State	es application(s) or PCT internati	onal application(s)		
	designating the United States of America lis	sted below and, insofar as the subject	ect matter of each of the claims of	this application is		
	not disclosed in the prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty					
	to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56 which occurred					
	between the filing date of the prior applica-	tion(s) and the national or PCT inte	ernational filing date of this applie	eation:		
	between the fining date of the prior applica					
		(D. 24 - 17)				
	(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented, 1	Pending or Abandoned)		
	(Application Serial Number)					
	(Application Serial Number) (Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented, 1	Pending or Abandoned)		

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. §1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: I hereby appoint as my attorneys, with full powers of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

John B. Lungmus(18,566) Allen H. Gerstein (22,218) Nate F. Scarpelli (22,320) Edward M. O'Toole (22,477) Michael F. Borun (25,447) Trevor B. Joike (25,542) Carl E. Moore, Jr. (26,487) Richard H. Anderson (26,526) Patrick D. Ertel (26,877) Richard B. Hoffman(26,910) James P. Zeller (28,491) William E. McCracken (30,195) Richard A. Schnurr (30,890) Anthony Nimmo (30,920) Christine A. Dudzik (31,245) Kevin D. Hogg (31,839) Jeffrey S. Sharp (31,879) Martin J. Hirsch (32,237) James J. Napoli (32,361) Richard M. La Barge (32,254) Li-Hsien Rin-Laures, M.D. (33,547) Douglass C. Hochstetler (33,710) Robert M. Gerstein (34,824) Anthony G. Sitko (36,278) James A. Flight (37,622) Roger A. Heppermann (37,641) David A. Gass (38,153) Gregory C. Mayer (38,238) Michael R. Weiner (38,359) William K. Merkel (40,725) Paul B. Stephens (47,970)

Send correspondence to: Paul B. Stephens

FIRM NAME

PHONE NO.

STREET

CITY & STATE

ZIP CODE

Marshall, O'Toole, Gerstein,

6300 Sears Tower

	300 Sears Tower
Murray & Borun 312-474-6300 233 S	South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-6402
Full Name of First or Sole Inventor	Citizenship
Roger L. Frick	United States of America
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
993 North Widow Lake Road NW	993 North Widow Lake Road NW
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
Hackensack, 56542	Hackensack, 56542
State or Country	State or Country
Minnesota	Minnesota
Date A 1 22, 2061	Signature 8
<u> </u>	=-1 ⁻ -2 ⁻ -2-2-2-2
Second Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship
Charles R. Willcox	United States of America
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
16128 Baywood Lane	16128 Baywood Lane
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
Eden Prairie, 55346	Eden Prairie, 55346
State or Country	State or Country
Minnesota	Minnesota
Date August 22,2001	Signature Charles N. William
Third Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship
Ame void attorior, it my	Cideolomp
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
State or Country	State or Country
Date	Signature
	×

Fourth Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship	
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street	
City (Zip)	City (Zip)	
State or Country	State or Country	
Date ⊠	Signature ⊠	

APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES

37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR £36(a).

IS U.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or

(c) he has abandoned the invention, or

L

(d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or

(f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or

(g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S.C. 103. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER (Applicable Portion)

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 112. SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.